| Attorney's Docket No.: 03700.P002X | <u>PATENT</u> |
|---|----------------|
| - | |
| DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATEN | IT APPLICATION |

(CONTINUATION-IN-PART)

| As a below named invento | or, I hereby declare that: | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name. | | | | | | | |
| and joint inventor (if plural | names are listed below | if only one name is listed below) of the subject matter which is cla METHOD AND LOGIC FOR CA | aimed and for | | | | |
| ANALYZING CONDUIT D | ATA | | | | | | |
| the specification of which | | | | | | | |
| _x_ is attache was filed | on | Number as | | | | | |
| | | ication Number | | | | | |
| | nd was amended on | | , | | | | |
| | | (if applicable) | | | | | |
| I hereby state that I have r including the claims, as an | | d the contents of the above-identi ent referred to above. | fied specification, | | | | |
| I acknowledge the duty to defined in Title 37, Code of | | known to me to be material to pat Section 1.56. | tentability as | | | | |
| foreign application(s) for p | atent or inventor's certifient or inventor's certifica | 35, United States Code, Section 1 icate listed below and have also icate having a filing date before that | dentified below any | | | | |
| Prior Foreign Application(s | <u>s)</u> | | Priority <u>Claimed</u> | | | | |
| (Number) | (Country) | (Day/Month/Year Filed) | Yes No | | | | |
| (Number) | (Country) | (Day/Month/Year Filed) | Yes No | | | | |
| (Number) | (Country) | (Day/Month/Year Filed) | Yes No | | | | |
| I hereby claim the benefit provisional application(s) I | | ates Code, Section 119(e) of any | United States | | | | |
| Application Number | Filing Date | | | | | | |

Application Number

Filing Date

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

| 09/340,216 | • | June 25, 1999 | Pei | nding | | |
|--|---|--|--|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Application Numb | per | Filing Date | Statu | | atented, pending, aband | oned |
| Application Numl | per | Filing Date | Statu | | atented, pending, aband | loned |
| hereby appoint the part of this documen substitution and revo and Trademark Offic |) as my responding to pro | ective patent attorn secute this applicat | eys and patent | agents | s, with full power | r of |
| Send corresponder | (Name | of Attorney or Ag | ent) | | | |
| ZAFMAN LLP, 1240 elephone calls to | Andre' | L. Marais | | | ornia 90025 an | d direct |
| | (Name of A | Attorney or Agent) | | | | |
| statements made of statements were made are punishable by f States Code and the application or any p Full Name of Sole/Fi | ade with the ine or impris at such willf patent issued | knowledge that we conment, or both, out false statements to thereon. | illful false stat under Section s may jeopardi | emen 1001 | ts and the like of Title 18 of th | so made e United |
| nventor's Signature | Coll. | Bm | <u> </u> | Date _ | SEPTEMBER | 5,2000 |
| Residence | Portola Valle (City, S | | Citizenship | o | U.S.A (Country | · <u>·</u> |
| Post Office Address | | Drive ey, CA 94028 | | | | |
| Full Name of Second | /Joint Invento | or <u>Daniel Moos</u> | | | | |
| nventor's Signature | \mathcal{X} | M | <u>-</u> [| Date _ | Septemb | ber 5 200 |
| Residence | Palo Alto, C (City, S | | Citizenship | o | U.S.A. (Country | ·) |
| Post Office Address | 925 Clara D | rive | | | | |

Palo Alto, CA 94303

APPENDIX A

William E. Alford, Reg. No. 37,764; Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. P42,261; Aloysius T. C. AuYeung, Reg. No. 35,432; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Carol F. Barry, Reg. No. 41,600; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Lisa N. Benado, Reg. No. 39,995; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; R. Alan Burnett, Reg. No. 46,149; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Andrew C. Chen, Reg. No. 43,544; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Donna Jo Coningsby, Reg. No. 41,684; Florin Corie, Reg. No. 46,244; Dennis M. deGuzman, Reg. No. 41,702; Stephen M. De Klerk, Reg. No. P46,503; Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Robert Andrew Diehl, Reg. No. 40,992; Sanjeet Dutta, Reg. No. P46,145; Matthew C. Fagan, Reg. No. 37,542; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; George Fountain, Reg. No. 37,374; Paramita Ghosh, Reg. No. 42,806; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,621; James A. Henry, Reg. No. 41,064; Libby N. Ho, Reg. No. P46,774; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg. No. P41,845; Sheryl Sue Holloway, Reg. No. 37,850; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Sang Hui Kim, Reg. No. 40,450; Walter T. Kim, Reg. No. 42,731; Eric T. King, Reg. No. 44,188; Erica W. Kuo, Reg. No. 42,775; George Brian Leavell, Reg. No. 45,436; Kurt P. Leyendecker, Reg. No. 42,799; Gordon R. Lindeen III, Reg. No. 33,192; Jan Carol Little, Reg. No. 41,181; Joseph Lutz, Reg. No. 43,765; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Andre L. Marais, under 37 C.F.R. § 10.9(b); Paul A. Mendonsa, Reg. No. 42,879; Clive D. Menezes, Reg. No. 45,493; Chun M. Ng, Reg. No. 36,878; Thien T. Nguyen, Reg. No. 43,835; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 42,034; Dennis A. Nicholls, Reg. No. 42,036; Daniel E. Ovanezian, Reg. No. 41,236; Kenneth B. Paley, Reg. No. 38,989; Marina Portnova, Reg. No. P45,750; William F. Ryann, Reg. 44,313; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Jeffrey Sam Smith, Reg. No. 39,377; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; Vincent P. Tassinari, Reg. No. 42,179; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; John F. Travis, Reg. No. 43,203; Joseph A. Twarowski, Reg. No. 42,191; Tom Van Zandt, Reg. No. 43,219; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; Glenn E. Von Tersch, Reg. No. 41,364; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Mark L. Watson, Reg. No. P46,322; Thomas C. Webster, Reg. No. P46.154; Steven D. Yates, Reg. No. 42,242; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my patent attorneys, and Firasat Ali, Reg. No. 45,715; and Justin M. Dillon, Reg. No. 42,486; my patent agents, of BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, and James R. Thein, Reg. No. 31,710, my patent attorney with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

APPENDIX B

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.